REASON DOCUMENTS OF A FINAL DRAFT SPECIFICATION (RDSO/CG/S/24006) OF "SINGLE LEAF AUTOMATIC PLUG DOOR FOR VANDE BAHART TRAINSET CAR"

SN.	CLAUSE	DESCRIPTION OF CLAUSE	M/s FAIVELEY (1)	M/s TROLEX(2)	M/s AVADH RAIL INFRA (3)	M/s AND HI-TECH (4)	M/s RT VISION(5)	RDSO Remark
1	1.2	Automatic door systems in rolling stock are designed to open and close automatically. Sensors are integrated into the door system to detect obstacles during the closing process, preventing injuries or damage						Firm's comments acceptable. Para will be modified accordingly.
2	1.5	·						This is list of standards which may be referred, so there is no need to change.
		systems for rolling stock. (ii)EN 45545 Fire						
		protections on railway vehicles.						
		(iii)EN 50155 Railway applications: Rolling stock. Electronic equipment.						
		(iv)EN 50306 Method of test for resistance to fire of unprotected small cables.						
		(v)IEC 60077 Railway applications: Electric equipment for rolling stock.						
		(vi)IEC 61373:2010 Railway applications: Rolling stock. Shock & Vibration Tests.						
		(vii)EN 60529 Degree of protection provided by enclosures (IP Code).						
		(viii)EN 50121 Railway applications: Electromagnetic compatibility.						
		(ix)EN 50125 Railway applications: Environmental conditions for equipment.						
		(x)EN 50126 Railway applications: Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety						
		(xii)EN 50215 Railway applications: Rolling Stock						

		Testing of rolling stock on Completion of construction and before entry into service IEC 60571 The Safety and reliability requirement of electronic equipment						
3	6.7	Source of manufacture of each component shall be provided by the vendor at the time of design stage.	Not comply, No sub-supplier details will be provided as the complete design is owned by FT. It is possible that FT may change the Sub-suppliers if the situation				Detailing of C and D class components may not be irrelevant.	No need to change clause as these requirement are necessary for ensuring the
4	6.8	Vendors shall ensure that single leaf automatic plug door system to that particular design are supplied with components manufactured from the sources as indicated at the time of design approval and used for type testing	warrants.					traceability for quality of system.
5	8.2	The supplier shall make effort to mount the door on the existing structure. There should not be any infringement with existing structure/accessories. This shall be agreed during design freeze.						Noted
6	8.3	The supplier shall guarantee all internal interfaces of the system						
7	10.1.2	The single leaf automatic plug door system must be robust enough to encounter vibrations and jerks, light in weight and proven design. The mounting arrangement shall be designed to withstand satisfactorily the vibrations and shock encountered in service in compliance to EN 61373		development of product under "Make in India" as	We manufacture automatic plug door under TOT with reputed company for supplies in world railway. However, for each country operation conditions are different so proven designs should be consider on the basis of their existing supply in World Railways not in Indian Railways.	India Policy" the designed Automatic plug type door system by an Indian company shall be tested/verified by Supplier and Tested by RDSO as per mentioned relevant door safety EN standards	range of Indigenization. Proven Design word may disallow new vendor's entry.	Agreed. Para will be modified accordingly

design and supply a new determinant of MSSO Seedification (MSSO Seedification) (MSSO Seedific			
short system according to RDGO Specification intercerce. CG-014-2.4 Am and the system control of the system co		design and supply a new	
NEOSO Specification reference QQ-VH-12-4 Ver 1.0. As on this clause the new supplier cannot scopely the proper score cannot supplier to some score cannot supplier to a scope cannot cannot supplier to a scope cannot cann			
reference GG-WH-1-24 Avr.1.0.1 As per the clause the new supplier commit supply the door system until not having collaboration with more continued to the property of the the property o			
As per this disase the new supplier cannot uppey the door supplier cannot uppey the door supplier cannot uppey the door system until not having collaboration with proven door system from the province of the supplier of the state of the sta		· · ·	
As per this citizate the new supplier counted autory fee door system until not having collaboration with proving and only a possible proving a collaboration. This cause gives benefits only the easing a possible proving a collaboration. This cause gives benefits on the easing a possible proving a competition in door system that is not in favour of New Indian Reliniveys and fution Supplier. To Promote and making successful of Make in the successful of Make in the successful of Make in the successful of the suc			
supplier cannot aupply the door system under the constraint of the		Ver.1.0.	
supplier cannot supply the door system until not having collaboration with proven dutar system. This clause gives borroffer only to detail to consider the competition in door system that is not in lovaru of New Yorks and Indian Suprison. To Promote and Indian Su		As ner this clause the new	
door system until not having collaboration with proven door system foreign collaboration. This clause gives benefits only to the existing supplier to stop or collaboration of the provided o			
having collaboration with proved door system foreign collaboration. This clause gives benefits only to the oxiding supplier to stop competition in door system that is not in florors of New Indian Bolley and Indian Rolley and In			
proven door system Toreign collaborator. This clause gives bonefits only to the existing supplier to stop competition in door System froit is not in the standard froit in the stop competition in door System froit is not in the standard froit in the standard froit in the supplier of the standard froit in leads Policy's and the supplier willing to design and supplier supplier in system supplier to make the competition in system to supplier to the system to supplier to system to supplier to the system to supplier to supplier to the system to supplier to standard to supplier to supplier to the system to supplier to standard to supplier to supplier to the system to supplier to standard to supplier to standard to supplier to			
foreign collaborator. This clauses gives bondifies only to the existing applier to stop composition in door system that is not in favour of New holian Hashways and indian Hashways and indian Stappier. To Promote and making successful of "flate in Indian Policy" on Indian Hashways and indian successful of "flate in Indian Policy" on Indian and Stappier of Indian in Indian Policy" on Indian in Indian Policy in Indian in Indian Indian Policy in Indian in Indian			
This clause gives bornells only to the cesting supplier to stop competition in door System that is not in favour of New Indian Railways and Indian Railways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making accessful of "Make in India Supplier willing to design and supply to Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian representation in system of the supplier willing to design and supply to Indian representation in system of the supplier willing to design and supply to Indian representation in system of the supplier willing to design and supply to Indian representation of proven or collaboration in design of the supplier willing to the proven or collaboration in the supplier willing to the supplier will be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and INDSO System supplier willing to the supplier will be according to the supplier will be supplier will be according to the supplier will be supplier will be supplier will be supplier to the supplier will be supplied to			
only to the oxisting supplier to stop composition in door System that is not in favour of New Indian Railways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make in Indian India Policy" an Indian Supplier willing to design and supply to Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only lev suppliers (2). The requirement of policy is not to the competition of		foreign collaborator.	
only to the existing supplier to stop competition in door System that is not in favour of New Indian Rativays and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make in India Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supplier willing to design and supplier willing to design and supplier willing to make the competition in system where only two suppliers (2). The requirement of public willing to the mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new the mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant took safety standards and RDSS Specification reference. C4-Vi4-2.4. Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test in the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door safety standards and RDSSO Specification reference. C4-Vi4-2.4. Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test in the mandatory own the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory is the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory of the mandatory. The mandatory of the mandato		This clause gives honofite	
supplier to stop competition in door system that is, not in favour of New Indian Rollways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make in India Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2). The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of correcting to the stop of the correction of			
competition in door System that is not in favour of New Indian Railways and Indian Railways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make in India Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2). The requirement of groven or collaboration of proven the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO. Specification reference CG-WH-2.4. Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of failed Trail. For this sequirement in more standard in the necessary period of failed Trail. For this sequirement in more standard in the necessary period of failed Trail. For this sequirement in the necessary loss of the province of the			
System that is not in favour of New Indian Railways and Indian Supplier. To Prumote and making successful of Make in Indian Policy an Indian supply and Indian supply to Indian and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door sately standards and RDSO Specification affects at the standard RDSO Specification references and RDSO Specification references and RDSO Specification references of the standards and RDSO Specification of the standard RDSO Specification references of the standard RDSO Specification references of the standards and RDSO Specification references of the standards requirement the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary period of the Indiana RDSO Specification of I		supplier to stop	
favour of New Indian Railways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make In Indian Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supplier willing to design and release the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safely standards and RDSO Specification reference GS-W14-2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Tost lab and warified by the necessary period of field Trail, For this requirement the necessary rest facilities RDSO Tost lab and warified by the necessary period of field Trail, For this requirement the necessary rest facilities RDSO Tost lab Therefore, it is requirements.			
favour of New Indian Railways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make In Indian Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supplier willing to design and release the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safely standards and RDSO Specification reference GS-W14-2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Tost lab and warified by the necessary period of field Trail, For this requirement the necessary rest facilities RDSO Tost lab and warified by the necessary period of field Trail, For this requirement the necessary rest facilities RDSO Tost lab Therefore, it is requirements.		System that is not in	
Railways and Indian Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make in India Polisy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only law suppliers? (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSSO. Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Var.1.0 and to be lested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and varified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MAB already specified to comply the test requirement. Therefore, it is requirement. Therefore, it is requirements.			
Supplier. To Promote and making successful of "Make in India Policy" and india policy willing the design applier willing to design and supplier willings to design and suppliers willings to make the competition in system where only few suppliers. (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO. Specification reference CG-WH-1.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P aiready specified to comply the test of specified to comply the test of the RDSO withing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if			
To Promote and making successful of "Make in India Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary are priod of field Trail. For this requirement. These facilities MAP already specified to RDSO Singuistic state of the second state of the requirements. Therefore, it is requirements.			
successful of "Make in India Policy" an Indian India Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian rallways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference G-W1-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MAP already specified to comply the test in requirement. The necessary Test is requisement. Therefore, it is requisement. Therefore, it is requised to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if			
successful of "Make in India Policy" an Indian India Policy" an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian rallways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference G-W1-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MAP already specified to comply the test in requirement. The necessary Test is requisement. Therefore, it is requisement. Therefore, it is requised to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if		To Promote and making	
India Policy' an Indian supplier willing to design and supply to Indian rativays to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RPSO Spacification reference CG-WH-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities RPSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MEP already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RPSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if		successful of "Make in	
supplier willing to design and supply to Indian railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference Cg-WH-4.2-4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MB-8 requirement the necessary Test facilities and RDSO Specification reference Cg-WH-4.2-4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MB-8 already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if			
and supply to Inclian railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO. Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the requirement the recessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if			
railways to make the competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO specification reference CG-WH-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary period of field standards facilities with the necessary test facilities with the necessary control of the necessary period of the necessary test facilities with the necessary test faci			
competition in system where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WH-4_24 Ver.1_0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MAP already specified to comply the test nequirements. Therefore, it is requested to RBSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if			
where only few suppliers (2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the requirement. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirement design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if			
(2) The requirement of proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-42.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Provided to the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is required to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven			
proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO. Specification reference. CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO. Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field. Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities. MAP already specified to comply the test. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirement design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
proven or collaboration should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO. Specification reference. CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO. Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field. Trail. For this requirement the necessary protect of facilities. MAP already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/		(2) The requirement of	
should not be mandatory. Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference G-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design tent Train -18/			
Also, to prove the design of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
of new door system shall be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
be according to test requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
requirement of all relevant door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities MRP already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requirements design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
door safety standards and RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
RDSO Specification reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
reference CG-WI-4.2.4 Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
Ver.1.0 and to be tested at Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/		reference CG-WI-4.2.4	
Firm own test facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/		Ver.1.0 and to be tested at	
facilities/RDSO Test lab and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
and verified by the necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
necessary period of field Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
Trail. For this requirement the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
the necessary Test facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
facilities M&P already specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
specified to comply the test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/		the necessary Test	
test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
test requirements. Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/		specified to comply the	
Therefore, it is requested to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
design must be avoided, if we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
we considered only proven design then Train -18/			
design then Train -18/			
Vande Bharat train set			
		Vande Bharat train set	

					never been developed by Indian Railways which was big success in the history of Indian Railways.	
8	10.1.7	The emergency device must be able to unlock the door even when there is no electric power or pneumatic pressure if activated then the train is in standstill.	Language error to be corrected (when instead of then)	 		 Agreed. Corrections made.
9	10.2.1	Doors shall be fitted with transparent windows to allow passengers to identify the presence of a platform. Door windows shall be replaceable without removal of the door leaf.	Though it is possible to replace the window glass without the removal of door leaf it is strongly advised to remove the door leaf and replace the glass by keeping the door leaf horizontally.	 		 Noted
10	10.2.4	All door windows shall be fitted with safety glass as per RDSO Specification C-K404 (Latest Revision) which shall be bonded with door leaf & sealant	or DIN 6701 and DVS 1618 Standard.	 		 RCF Specification MDTS 089 latest revision shall be used.
11	10.3.1	Door guides and supports shall be mounted within the section of doorway protected by the door seals and other suitable means from inside and outside ensuring that no ingress of dust, debris, or any other foreign matter likely to result in excessive wear or incorrect operation of the door equipment.	Protection covers for Doors are not part of FT scope of supply.	 		 Proper sealing against any foreign material is a functional requirement.
12	10.4.4	Limit switches used shall be of high reliability and with IP 65 protection.	Any switch that is not meeting IP65 shall have additional protection cover.	 		 No need to change clause.
13	10.4.9	The door operating mechanism shall be housed within the car above the doorway lintels. The design shall provide ease of access for maintenance.	Interface shall be mutually agreed during the design phase as the door system is one of the safety related product.	 		

10.5.2		 This will ensure		Since the doors are new	
	proven design shall be equipped	development of product	"proven design" means it	range of Indigenization.	Agreed.
	with self – diagnostic functions	under "Make in India" as	is restriction for new Indian	Proven Design word may	3
	and shall communicate with	per clause 2.2 of this	supplier who is willing to	disallow new vendor's	Para will be modified
	TCMS.	specification.	design and supply a new	entry.	accordingly
			door system according to		
			RDSO Specification		
			reference CG-WI-4.2.4		
			Ver.1.0.		
			As per this clause the new		
			supplier cannot supply the		
			door system until not		
			having collaboration with		
			proven door system		
			foreign collaborator.		
			This clause gives benefits		
			only to the existing		
			supplier to stop		
			competition in door		
			System that is not in		
			favour of New Indian		
			Railways and Indian		
			Supplier.		
			To Promote and making		
			successful of "Make in		
			India Policy" an Indian		
			supplier willing to design		
			and supply to Indian		
			railways to make the		
			competition in system		
			where only few suppliers		
			(2) The requirement of		
			proven or collaboration		
			should not be mandatory.		
			Also, to prove the design		
			of new door system shall		
			be according to test		
			requirement of all relevant		
			door safety standards and		
			RDSO Specification		
			reference CG-WI-4.2.4		
			Ver.1.0 and to be tested at		
			Firm own test		
			facilities/RDSO Test Lab		
			and verified by the		
			necessary period of field		
			Trail. For this requirement		
			the necessary Test		
			facilities M&P already		
			specified to comply the		
			test requirements.		
			Therefore, it is requested		

					to RDSO writing of proven design must be avoided, if		
					we considered only proven design then Train -18/ Vande Bharat train set never been developed by Indian Railways which was big success in the history		
					of Indian Railways.		
15	10.5.6	An indication confirming that all doors are closed shall be provided in the driving cab on the both end driver desk through TCMS / train line wiring.	The train level wiring is not part of door system scope of supply.				Functionality is must. However, this may be finalized during integration with TCMS
16	10.5.7		Sentence to be corrected for single leaf plug door system.				Modified suitably.
17	10.5.8	The door controller unit shall qualify the electro-magnetic compatibility requirements as per EN 50121 – 3 – 2 and protective factors procured by casing as per EN 60529	For EN 60529 the level of IP to be defined.				Clause modified for more clarity.
18		Door system shall be at least SIL 2 compliant at train level for all the safety related functions and shall not allow *Spurious door opening when train not at standstill *Train departure with an open door *Non opening of doors in case of emergency The SIL levels as above shall be validated and shall ensure that the train shall not move from a station unless the doors are closed and locked unless		Door System shall be at least SIL 3 compliant at train level for all the safety related functions and shall not allow:- • Spurious door opening when train not at standstill • Train departure with an open door • Non opening of doors in case of emergency The SIL levels as above shall be validated and shall ensure that the train shall not move from a station		As SIL 2 is applicable to Control Systems and Door is an Electro Mechanical Device.	Not agreed as SIL level is measurement of reliability & availability of a safety system and automatic door is a vital safety related control system.

		ionally permitted by the member.		unless the doors are closed and locked unless intentionally permitted by the crew member.		
19	operatindeperside of be properational sides	all be ensured that door ition is performed endently for each selected of the train. There shall also rovision to perform door ition simultaneously on both of the train, if required in all conditions.	This requirement belongs to TCMS and train level wiring control circuits.	 	 	Noted
20	operation operat	tted when the door leaf is in closed position. If the door osed & locked with the ion lock, the safety loop	Activation of isolation is still possible when the door is in open position. It must be ensured that the activation of lock out is done after the door leaf is closed and locked manually by the crew.	 	 	Agreed. Para is modified accordingly.
21	closing reclos event fails to attempt shall autompto ar position	g, the automatic door shall	Language need to be corrected also Faiveley suggest to keep the number attempt 5 times instead of 3 times.	 		Language changed for better understanding.
22	openir the sa new door s push	ng, then door shall stop at ame position and wait for command. However, the should be able to manually in open or close direction by passenger or crew	The attempt must be 3 times before the door goes to out of action.	 	 	No need to change the requirement.
23	during be log syster	number of obstructions g opening or closing shall gged by the door control m as an aid to diagnosing system problems.	Language may be corrected.	 	 	Corrected.

24	11.1	The door system shall be designed to facilitate the compatibility of different makes in a single rake.	NOT COMPLY. This requirement is complicated and do not belong to door system Scope the inter-operability need to be handled by TCMS/Propulsion Supply/train level control systems.	 		 Not agreed as inter-operability shall be there.
25	11.2	The door system shall interface seamlessly with existing train control and communication System	Interface shall be mutually agreed during the design phase as the door System is one of the safety related product.	 		
26	12.1	The firm shall submit the following documents at the time of vendor registration for approval by Nodal agency nominated by Indian Railway for vendor development: (c)Load/strength calculation of door system along with FEA report G) List of spare parts for inservice requirements.	Item SL No "G" need to define with respective number of years	 	The requirement of FEA must be specified in specification for better design analysis.	FEA requirement is already specified. Only list of applicable spare parts to be provided suitably for its service life.
27	12.2	engineering practice in accordance with the international standards. The firm shall submit 3D parametric Model and 2D drawings of the Single leaf Automatic plug door (the General arrangement and interface details with the car body) in hard (A1/A2 Size) and soft copy.	Envelope drawing details not available also it is not practical to have hard copy that details whole system hence the Car body 3D model need to share with door system supplier.	 		 Not agreed. Reference drawing already given in annexure III of this specification. Firm has to provide all necessary 3D/2D drawings at the time of registration (if available) or Prototype test for examination.
28	12.3	These drawings shall contain the necessary details/dimensions as specified and shall show sufficiently sectioned view of the equipment so that every component of the equipment is identified		 		

29	12.4	Material grade / specifications for each component shall be indicated on the relevant drawings of the firm and the firm shall supply copies of translation in English of such specifications / drawings other than Indian Standards specification to Nodal agency nominated by Indian Railway for vendor development	Not comply. Individual component materials are intellectual property of FT so such information cannot provided.	 	 	Material grade / specifications for each component shall be indicated on the relevant drawings as it is required to ensure quality & better traceability.
30	13.1	The manufacturer shall offer at least 2 Nos. Prototype single leaf automatic plug door assembly complete for necessary testing in accordance to Para 15 of this specification. The tests for all the requirements as laid down in this specification are mandatory for product approval.	One (1) prototype is good enough for automatic doors based on FT experience.	 	 	Not Agreed.
31	13.2	The Prototype inspection of single leaf automatic plug door assembly shall be carried out at manufacturer's premises by authorized representatives of Nodal agency nominated by Indian Railway for vendor development. The manufacturer shall provide, without extra charges, material, tools and any other assistance, which may be considered necessary for any test / examination and dimensional checking.	Agreement of inspection must be developed based on EN 14752-2019 Standard.	 	 	All tests are based in EN 14752 for door operation.
32	20.1	The initial warranty is 60 months from date of train commissioning or 72 months from date of supply. Firm shall replace free of cost at primary depot location of Indian railway the whole system or portion of items which malfunction during the warranty period.		 	 	Not agreed.
33	20.2	The firm must offer CAMC along with OE offer for 72 months that is applicable from date of train commissioning or 78 months from date of supply. Firm has to submit the list along with unit price rate of the following: Must change spares Spares required during periodic overhauling any other spares that may be required		 	 	

		Identification codes	Identification labels also can be			
34	22.6	Identification codes (manufacturer's name /	used if the strikers are capable			Noted
		trademark) and month & year of	of withstanding the	 	 	
		manufacture shall be punched /	environmental impact for at-least			
		engraved on the main equipment	72months			
		and their component parts to				
		avoid mixing by mistake of				
		different applications and for				
		setting down warranty claim for				
		smooth and efficient working.				
		_	One week training is sufficient			
35	25.1	Adequate number of Railway	One week training is sufficient. The duration of the training shall			Duration may be mutually
		officials shall be trained to cover	be mentioned in RDSO	 	 	decided depending upon the
		all aspects of Single leaf	l		 	personnel and no. of door
		automatic plug door for Vande Bharat Train set which shall	Specification			systems.
						o, o.o
		cover familiarization maintenance, troubleshooting &				
		functionality of various sub				
		systems of automatic door. The				
		number and days of training				
		shall be mutually agreed				
		between purchaser and supplier.				
		Firm shall have following				
36	Annex-I	minimum M&P and Infrastructure	To be discussed in detail.			Noted
	3.2	at their works:		 	 	
	0.2	i) Electro static charge free				
		work and storage area to				
		prevent sensitive components				
		from damage during				
		manufacturing, testing and				
		storage.				
		ii) Temperature controlled				
		soldering and de-soldering				
		work stations.				
		iii) Temperature and humidity				
		chamber.				
		iv) CAD with suitable software				
		license.				
		v) Endurance Testing machine				
		Designed for 5,00,000 Cycles				
		vi) Assembly and polishing station				
		vii) CNC Turning & Other				
		regular tools like Measuring				
		tape, Measuring scale,				
		Magnifying glass, Laser				
		Cutting Machine, Testing				
		machine , Facilities for lifting				
		and weighing , Weighing				
		Scale, Necessary jigs &				
		components of door etc. used				
		for manufacturing, electronic				
		assembly line, inspection and				
		testing of the Single Leaf				
		Automatic Plug Door.				
		for manufacturing, electronic assembly line, inspection and testing of the Single Leaf				

37	4.1	Firm should have following minimum testing facilities at their works: Facilities for measuring of Contact force during closing and opening of doors insulation tester Facility for measurement of contact force during closing and opening of doors. iii) Facility for measurement of contact force during closing and opening time of doors. iv)Digital Multi-meter with basic DC Voltage accuracy of at-least 0.5%. v)Power analyzer, Millivolt Drop Tester. vi)Power supply arrangement i.e. 24 V DC,110 V AC/DC vii)High Voltage Tester (2KV) & Insulation Tester viii)Powder Coating Thickness meter ix)Standard measuring	Point No (v) and '(x) is not applicable.			Agreed. Modified accordingly.
		gauges/instruments x) Digital oscilloscope xi)Functional and performance test bench xii)Any other test equipment considered necessary.				
38	5.1	The firm should have acquired ISO: 9001certification from the agency accredited by an accreditation body which is a part of International Accreditation Forum (IAF), and the product for which the approval is sought should be broadly covered in the scope of the certification for manufacture and supply.	Firm should be IRIS certified.	 	 	To be deliberated with other suppliers before incorporating.
39	5.2	The Quality manual of the firm for ISO: 9001 should clearly indicate at every stage the control over manufacturing and testing of the said railway product.	Firm should be IRIS certified.	 	 	
40	5.3	There should be a system to ensure the traceability of the product from raw material stage to finished product stage. The system should also facilitate to identify the raw material composition from the finish product stage.	Applicable for sheet metal and casting those are having performance importance.	 	 	Clause is self-explanatory and is required for better traceability.

41	5.5	There should be at least one full time technologist having a minimum Master's degree in relevant field with experience of at least 3 years or Bachelor's degree in relevant field with experience of at least 5 years or a person with Diploma in relevant field with 12 years' experience. He should be free from day-to-day production, testing and quality control responsibilities. He should be mainly responsible for development of a product, analysis of products, control over raw material, and corrective action in case of difficulties in achieving the parameters	Firm should be IRIS certified.			Already clarified above in 5.1
42	5.6	Ensure that the in-charge of the Quality Control Section is having a qualification of minimum Master's degree in relevant field with experience of at least 3 years or Bachelor's degree in the relevant field with a minimum of 5 years' experience or alternatively he should be a Diploma holder with minimum of 12 years' experience. He should be actively involved in day-to-day activities of quality control / stage inspection / compliance of QAP etc.	Firm should be IRIS certified.			
43	5.7	The firm must ensure that proper analysis is being done on monthly basis to examine the rejections at various internal stages and it is documented. The firm must ensure that proper analysis is being done on monthly basis to examine the rejections at various internal stages and it is documented.	Firm should be IRIS certified.	 	 	
44	7.0	Training needs should be identified for all concerned officials and regular training shall be organized and imparted on maintenance of machines, quality assurance, safety parameters etc.	Firm should be IRIS certified.	 	 	

45	Annexure –II 2.1	Zem Sees Dour Open Left Side Door 1 Left Side Door 2 Dour Open Dou	This is the preliminary design detail design to be finalized during design stage. Safety loop details to be added					TCMS & Door Supplier shall finalise the TCMS Communication with automatic plug door system as per requirement of railways. So annexure—II is deleted.
46	2.2	Hardware interface details	All this signal must be through hard wire only. The word TCMS shall be replaced with Central control unit					
47	2.3.1& 2.3.2	Network interface details	Not applicable. Inter communication document must be finalized by TCMS vendor					
48	2.4	Status Bit details	Not applicable. Inter communication document must be finalized by TCMS vendor					
49	Annexure - III	Drawings	Drawing and 3D model to be shared to confirm.				Kindly provide the drawings as per annex-III	Drawing details is already given in annexure –III. Same may be taken from ICF.
50	Annexure - II 2.5 (8)	One indicator for green loop / status about all close for all door closed.	NOT APPLICABLE. Not in door scope vendor for vande bharat.	ensure user friendly and ensure no confusion	This interface is directly in scope of TCMS so push button to close or open the door (provided in CAB interior) should not be in the scope of door manufacturer.		Not in Door Scope.	Agreed. Para is deleted.
51	Annexure - I 3.2(iii)	Temperature and humidity chamber.				The requirement of availability of temperature and humidity chamber in M&P must be reviewed for actual use for manufacturing Automatic Plug door.		Agreed. Para is deleted.
52	4.1(viii)	Power Coating Thickness meter				It should be Powder coating thickness tester; clause may be reviewed.	4.1 (viii) to be corrected as Powder Coating.	Corrected.

53	The firm should have arrangement for periodical calibration of all the equipment and test Instruments	 	In-house calibration facility may not require for manufacturing of Automatic Plug door system	 Para is modified suitably.
			system	

M/s Harting has given comments regarding details of coach to coach connector :

We are already specified in your earlier specification for LHB doors and we have supplied multiple no of connectors and cable assemblies to approved suppliers of doors to Indian railways against the RDSO specification no (SPEC No. RDSO.2019/CG-01) for clause no 2.15 and 2.17 as attached for your kind reference.

Details of various part no of Harting/Phoenix make are attached with the comment.

RDSO Comment: the mentioned spec RDSO.2019/CG-01 is for automatic door opening and locking mechanism for BG coaches and not the automatic single leaf plug door system. Moreover, the mentioned clause 2.15 and 2.17 of RDSO.2019/CG-01 was in the draft version during revision only. No make specific components or sub-assemblies are being incorporated in this proposed specification of automatic single leaf plug door system.